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EDUCATIONAL LEADERS (School District Leadership
Level)
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Option B (2011 Standards)

NOTE: This form uses the ELCC standards approved by NCATE in 2011. Beginning in Spring
2013 all programs must use the new standards.

Educational Leadership Constituent Council (ELCC)

COVER SHEET

1. Institution Name

2. State

3. Date submitted
   MM   DD   YYYY

4. Report Preparer’s Information:
   Name of Preparer:
   Phone:   Ext.
   (  )   -   
   E-mail:

5. CAEP Coordinator’s Information:
   Name:
   Phone:   Ext.
   (  )   -   
   E-mail:

6. Name of institution’s program

7. CAEP Category
8. Grade levels\(^{(1)}\) for which candidates are being prepared

\[(1)\text{ e.g. } K-6, K-12, 7-12\]

9. Program Type
- Other School Personnel
- Unspecified

10. Degree or award level
- Post Baccalaureate
- Master's
- Post Master's
- Specialist or C.A.S.
- Doctorate
- Endorsement only

11. Is this program offered at more than one site?
- Yes
- No

12. If your answer is "yes" to above question, list the sites at which the program is offered

13. Title of the state license for which candidates are prepared

14. Program report status:
- Initial Review
- Response to One of the Following Decisions: Further Development Required or Recognition with Probation
- Response to National Recognition With Conditions

15. Is your Educator Preparation Provider (EPP) seeking
- CAEP accreditation for the first time (initial accreditation)
- Continuing CAEP accreditation

16. State Licensure data requirement on program completers disaggregated by specialty area with sub-area scores:
CAEP requires programs to provide completer performance data on state licensure examinations for completers who take the examination for the content field, if the state has a licensure testing requirement. Test information and data must be reported in Section IV. Does your state require such a test?
- Yes
- No
SECTION I - CONTEXT

1. Description of any state or institutional policies that may influence the application of ELCC standards. (Response limited to 4,000 characters)

2. Description of the field and clinical experiences required for the program, including the number of hours for early field experiences and the number of hours/weeks for student teaching or internships. Please include a description to inform reviewers how the internship/clinical experience(s) have been designed to meet ELCC standards 7.1, 7.2, and 7.3. See Standard 7.0 rubric in Appendix 1 of the 2011 ELCC Standards for reference. (Response limited to 8,000 characters)

3. Please attach files to describe a program of study that outlines the courses and experiences required for candidates to complete the program. The program of study must include course titles. (This information may be provided as an attachment from the college catalog or as a student advisement sheet.)

4. This system will not permit you to include tables or graphics in text fields. Therefore any tables or charts must be attached as files here. The title of the file should clearly indicate the content of the file. Word documents, pdf files, and other commonly used file formats are acceptable.

5. Candidate Information
   Directions: Provide three years of data on candidates enrolled in the program and completing the program, beginning with the most recent academic year for which numbers have been tabulated. Report the data separately for the levels/tracks (e.g., baccalaureate, post-baccalaureate, alternate routes, master's, doctorate) being addressed in this report. Data must also be reported separately for programs offered at multiple sites. Update academic years (column 1) as appropriate for your data span. Create additional tables as necessary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th># of Candidates Enrolled in the Program</th>
<th># of Program Completers (2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2) CAEP uses the Title II definition for program completers. Program completers are persons who have met all the requirements of a state-approved teacher preparation program. Program completers include all those who are documented as having met such requirements. Documentation may take the form of a degree, institutional certificate, program credential, transcript, or other written proof of having met the program's requirements.

6. Faculty Information
   Directions: Complete the following information for each faculty member responsible for professional coursework, clinical supervision, or administration in this program. (Please refer to the footnotes for clarification)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Faculty Member Name</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highest Degree, Field, &amp; University (3)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assignment: Indicate the role of the faculty member (4)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Faculty Rank (5)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tenure Track</th>
<th>□ YES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<p>| Scholarship (6), Leadership in Professional Associations, and Service (7): List up to 3 |                           |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>major contributions in the past 3 years</strong>&lt;sup&gt;(8)&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teaching or other professional experience in P-12 schools</strong>&lt;sup&gt;(9)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(3) For example, PhD in Curriculum & Instruction, University of Nebraska.

(4) For example, faculty, clinical supervisor, department chair, administrator

(5) For example, professor, associate professor, assistant professor, adjunct professor, instructor

(6) Scholarship is defined by CAEP as a systematic inquiry into the areas related to teaching, learning, and the education of teachers and other school personnel. Scholarship includes traditional research and publication as well as the rigorous and systematic study of pedagogy, and the application of current research findings in new settings. Scholarship further presupposes submission of one's work for professional review and evaluation.

(7) Service includes faculty contributions to college or university activities, schools, communities, and professional associations in ways that are consistent with the institution and unit's mission.

(8) For example, officer of a state or national association, article published in a specific journal, and an evaluation of a local school program.

(9) Briefly describe the nature of recent experience in P-12 schools (e.g. clinical supervision, in-service training, teaching in a PDS) indicating the discipline and grade level of the assignment(s). List current P-12 licensure or certification(s) held, if any.
In this section, list the assessments that are being submitted as evidence for meeting the ELLC standards. For each assessment, indicate the type or form of the assessment and when it is administered in the program.

1. **Please provide following assessment information (Response limited to 250 characters each field)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type and Number of Assessment</th>
<th>Name of Assessment (10)</th>
<th>Type or Form of Assessment (11)</th>
<th>When the Assessment Is Administered (12)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assessment #1: Licensure assessment, or other content-based assessment (required)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment #2: Ability to support student learning and development (required)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment #3:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment #4:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment #5:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment #6: Assessment that demonstrates candidates' organizational management and Community relations leadership skills in developing effective school based management and resource systems and school-community partnerships. (Required)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment #7:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment #8:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(10) Identify assessment by title used in the program; refer to Section IV for further information on appropriate assessment to include.
(11) Identify the type of assessment (e.g., essay, case study, project, comprehensive exam, reflection, state licensure test, portfolio).
(12) Indicate the point in the program when the assessment is administered (e.g., admission to the program, admission to student teaching/internship, required courses [specify course title and numbers], or completion of the program).
1. **Standard 1.0:** A district-level education leader applies knowledge that promotes the success of every student by facilitating the development, articulation, implementation, and stewardship of a shared district vision of learning through the collection and use of data to identify district goals, assess organizational effectiveness, and implement district plans to achieve district goals; promotion of continual and sustainable district improvement; and evaluation of district progress and revision of district plans supported by district stakeholders.

   1.1 Candidates understand and can collaboratively develop, articulate, implement, and steward a shared district vision of learning for a school district.
   1.2 Candidates understand and can collect and use data to identify district goals, assess organizational effectiveness, and implement district plans to achieve district goals.
   1.3 Candidates understand and can promote continual and sustainable district improvement.
   1.4 Candidates understand and can evaluate district progress and revise district plans supported by district stakeholders.

2. **Standard 2.0:** A district-level education leader applies knowledge that promotes the success of every student by sustaining a district culture conducive to collaboration, trust, and a personalized learning environment with high expectations for students; creating and evaluating a comprehensive, rigorous, and coherent curricular and instructional district program; developing and supervising the instructional and leadership capacity across the district; and promoting the most effective and appropriate technologies to support teaching and learning within the district.

   2.1 Candidates understand and can advocate, nurture, and sustain a district culture and instructional program conducive to student learning through collaboration, trust, and a personalized learning environment with high expectations for students.
   2.2 Candidates understand and can create and evaluate a comprehensive, rigorous, and coherent curricular and instructional district program.
   2.3 Candidates understand and can develop and supervise the instructional and leadership capacity across the district.
   2.4 Candidates understand and can promote the most effective and appropriate district technologies to support teaching and learning within the district.

3. **Standard 3.0:** A district-level education leader applies knowledge that promotes the success of every student by facilitating the development, articulation, implementation, and stewardship of a shared district vision of learning through the collection and use of data to identify district goals, assess organizational effectiveness, and implement district plans to achieve district goals; promotion of continual and sustainable district improvement; and evaluation of district progress and revision of district plans supported by district stakeholders.
student by ensuring the management of the district’s organization, operation, and resources through monitoring and evaluating district management and operational systems; efficiently using human, fiscal, and technological resources within the district; promoting district-level policies and procedures that protect the welfare and safety of students and staff across the district; developing district capacity for distributed leadership; and ensuring that district time focuses on high-quality instruction and student learning.

| 3.1 | Candidates understand and can monitor and evaluate district management and operational systems. |
| 3.2 | Candidates understand and can efficiently use human, fiscal, and technological resources within the district. |
| 3.3 | Candidates understand and can promote district-level policies and procedures that protect the welfare and safety of students and staff across the district. |
| 3.4 | Candidates understand and can develop district capacity for distributed leadership. |
| 3.5 | Candidates understand and can ensure that district time focuses on supporting high-quality school instruction and student learning. |

4. Standard 4.0: A district-level education leader applies knowledge that promotes the success of every student by collaborating with faculty and community members, responding to diverse community interests and needs, and mobilizing community resources for the district by collecting and analyzing information pertinent to improvement of the district’s educational environment; promoting an understanding, appreciation, and use of the community’s diverse cultural, social, and intellectual resources throughout the district; building and sustaining positive district relationships with families and caregivers; and cultivating productive district relationships with community partners.

| 4.1 | Candidates understand and can collaborate with faculty and community members by collecting and analyzing information pertinent to the improvement of the district’s educational environment. |
| 4.2 | Candidates understand and can mobilize community resources by promoting understanding, appreciation, and use of the community’s diverse cultural, social, and intellectual resources throughout the district. |
| 4.3 | Candidates understand and can respond to community interests and needs by building and sustaining positive district relationships with families and caregivers. |
| 4.4 | Candidates understand and can respond to community interests and needs by building and sustaining productive district relationships with community partners. |

5. Standard 5.0: A district-level education leader applies knowledge that promotes the success of every student by acting with integrity, fairness, and in an ethical manner to ensure a district system of
accountability for every student’s academic and social success by modeling district principles of self-awareness, reflective practice, transparency, and ethical behavior as related to their roles within the district; safeguarding the values of democracy, equity, and diversity within the district; evaluating the potential moral and legal consequences of decision making in the district; and promoting social justice within the district to ensure individual student needs inform all aspects of schooling.

5.1 Candidates understand and can act with integrity and fairness to ensure a district system of accountability for every student’s academic and social success.
5.2 Candidates understand and can model principles of self-awareness, reflective practice, transparency, and ethical behavior as related to their roles within the district.
5.3 Candidates understand and can safeguard the values of democracy, equity, and diversity within the district.
5.4 Candidates understand and can evaluate the potential moral and legal consequences of decision making in the district.
5.5 Candidates understand and can promote social justice within the district to ensure individual student needs inform all aspects of schooling.

6. Standard 6.0: A district-level education leader applies knowledge that promotes the success of every student by understanding, responding to, and influencing the larger political, social, economic, legal, and cultural context within the district through advocating for district students, families, and caregivers; acting to influence local, district, state, and national decisions affecting student learning; and anticipating and assessing emerging trends and initiatives in order to adapt district-level leadership strategies.

6.1 Candidates understand and can advocate for district students, families, and caregivers.
6.2 Candidates understand and can act to influence local, district, state, and national decisions affecting student learning in a district environment.
6.3 Candidates understand and can anticipate and assess emerging trends and initiatives in order to adapt district-level leadership strategies.

7. Standard 7.0: A district-level education leader applies knowledge that promotes the success of every student in a substantial and sustained educational leadership internship experience that has district-based field experiences and clinical practice within a district setting and is monitored by a qualified, on-site mentor.

7.1 Substantial Experience: The program provides significant field experiences and clinical internship practice for candidates within a district environment to synthesize and apply the content knowledge and develop professional skills identified in the other Educational Leadership District-Level Program Standards through authentic, district-based leadership experiences. Information should be provided in Section IV, Assessment 4 to address this standard.

7.2 Sustained Experience: Candidates are provided a six-month concentrated (9–12 hours per week) internship that includes field experiences within a district environment. Information should be provided in Section I (Context), question 2, to address this standard.
7.3 Qualified On-site Mentor: An on-site district mentor who has demonstrated successful experience as an educational leader at the district level and is selected collaboratively by the intern and program faculty with training by the supervising institution. Information should be provided in Section I (Context), question 2, to address this standard.

Information should be provided in Section I (Context), question 2, to address this standard.
SECTION IV - EVIDENCE FOR MEETING STANDARDS

DIRECTIONS: The key assessments listed in Section II must be documented and discussed in Section IV. Taken as a whole, the assessments must demonstrate candidate mastery of the SPA standards. The key assessments must be required of all candidates. Assessments, scoring guides/rubrics and data charts should be aligned with the SPA standards. This means that the concepts in the SPA standards should be apparent in the assessments and in the scoring guides/rubrics to the same depth, breadth, and specificity as in the SPA standards. Data tables should also be aligned with the SPA standards. The data should be presented, in general, at the same level it is collected. For example, if a rubric collects data on 10 elements [each relating to specific SPA standard(s)], then the data chart should report the data on each of the elements rather than reporting a cumulative score.

A program is free to select the types of assessments within the following constraints:

• A program cannot use more than 8 key assessments. There is no minimum requirement.
• Assessments should be required of all candidates.
• The program must include the state licensure test in the program area for assessment #1. This requirement is waived if there is no state licensure test in the program area.
• One assessment must demonstrate candidate effects on student learning.
• In their entirety, the assessments and data should demonstrate that candidates have mastered the SPA standards.

Program must submit the following documentation:

(1) A rationale making the case that the key assessments, taken as a whole, demonstrate candidate mastery of the SPA standards.

and

(2) Assessment Documentation
For each assessment attach one document that includes the following 3 items:

a. The assessment tool itself or a rich description of the assessment (often the directions given to candidates);
b. The scoring guide/rubric for the assessment; and
c. Charts that provide candidate data derived from the assessment.

The responses for a, b, and c (above) should be limited to the equivalent of five text pages each, however in some cases assessment instruments or scoring guides/rubrics may go beyond five pages.

Note: As much as possible, combine all of the files for one assessment (a, b, and c above) into a single file. That is, create one file for Assessment #4 that includes the assessment itself (item a above), the scoring guide (item b above), and the data chart (item c above). Each attachment should be no larger than 2 mb. Do not include candidate work or syllabi. There is a limit of 20 attachments for the entire report so it is crucial that you combine files as much as possible. Please name files as directed in the guidelines for preparing a SPA Program Report found on the CAEP website at:
http://caepnet.org/accreditation/caep-accreditation/spa-program-review-policies-and-procedur

1. Rationale: Attach a narrative outlining your case that the assessments, taken as a whole, demonstrate candidate mastery of the SPA standards. (Character limit 40,000 characters)

2. State licensure tests or professional examinations of content knowledge. ELCC standards addressed in
this entry could include but are not limited to: Standard 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, and 6.0. If your state does not require licensure tests or professional examinations in the content area, data from another assessment must be presented to document candidate attainment of content knowledge.

Provide assessment information as outlined in the directions for Section IV

3. Assessment that demonstrates candidate's ability to support student learning and development.

Provide assessment information as outlined in the directions for Section IV

4. Provide assessment information as outlined in the directions for Section IV.

5. Provide assessment information as outlined in the directions for Section IV

6. Provide assessment information as outlined in the directions for Section IV

7. Provide assessment information as outlined in the directions for Section IV

8. Provide assessment information as outlined in the directions for Section IV

9. Provide assessment information as outlined in the directions for Section IV
1. Evidence must be presented in this section that assessment results have been analyzed and have been or will be used to improve candidate performance and strengthen the program. This description should not link improvements to individual assessments but, rather, it should summarize principal findings from the evidence, the faculty's interpretation of those findings, and changes made in (or planned for) the program as a result. Describe the steps program faculty has taken to use information from assessments for improvement of both candidate performance and the program. This information should be organized around (1) content knowledge, (2) professional and pedagogical knowledge, skill, and dispositions, and (3) student learning.

(Response limited to 12,000 characters)
SECTION VI - FOR REVISED REPORTS OR RESPONSE TO CONDITIONS REPORTS ONLY

1. For Revised Reports: Describe what changes or additions have been made to address the standards that were not met in the original submission. Provide new responses to questions and/or new documents to verify the changes described in this section. Specific instructions for preparing a Revised Report are available on the CAEP website at http://caepnet.org/accreditation/caep-accreditation/spa-program-review-policies-and-procedures.

For Response to Conditions Reports: Describe what changes or additions have been made to address the conditions cited in the original recognition report. Provide new responses to questions and/or new documents to verify the changes described in this section. Specific instructions for preparing a Response to Conditions Report are available on the CAEP website at http://caepnet.org/accreditation/caep-accreditation/spa-program-review-policies-and-procedures.

(Responses limited to 24,000 characters.)
This is the end of the report. Please click "Next" to proceed.